# The Academic Dean and the Challenges of Meeting Changing Expectations in a Competitive Higher Education Environment in Africa

Alfred Otara\*

#### **Abstract**

This article seeks to provide guidelines for leadership decisions and practices that university deans can follow to be effective in their institutions and be relevant in an increasingly competitive African milieu. The paper provides an overview of academic leadership by faculty deans and assesses the degree to which they demonstrate leadership in addressing contemporary challenges and expectations. The paper shows how academic leaders must hold and convey ideas and knowledge that could shape managerial thought and practice. It also emphasizes that they must develop a human resource network inside and outside their departments and university and at levels – be they local, national, and international. Academic leadership needs to have a structured approach to faculty and staff promotion and development, and it should be placed on a priority list. Deans need to use technology and communication channels effectively to improve access to knowledge. The educational programmes must be dynamic and streamlined to meet the demands of the job market as well as the aspirations of all the stakeholders, including the external stakeholders.

## Résumé

Cet article vise à fournir des lignes directrices pour les décisions et les pratiques de leadership à suivre par les doyens de facultés d'université pour être efficaces dans les institutions qu'ils dirigent et être pertinents dans un contexte africain de plus en plus compétitif. L'étude donne un aperçu du leadership académique des doyens de facultés et évalue le niveau de ce

<sup>\*</sup> College of Education, University of Rwanda. E-mail: fredcoco2003@yahoo.co.uk

leadership face aux enjeux et aux attentes contemporains. L'étude démontre comment les leaders universitaires doivent détenir et transmettre les idées et les connaissances qui devraient façonner la pensée et la pratique managériales. Il souligne aussi que ces derniers doivent développer un réseau de ressources humaines au sein et en dehors de leurs départements et leurs universités et à des niveaux — aussi bien local, national et international. Le leadership académique doit avoir une approche structurée vis-à-vis des facultés et en ce qui concerne la promotion et le développement du personnel et devrait être placé sur une liste prioritaire. Les doyens de facultés doivent utiliser la technologie et les canaux de communication pour améliorer l'accès au savoir. Les programmes éducatifs doivent être dynamiques et rationalisés pour répondre aux exigences du marché de l'emploi ainsi qu'aux aspirations de toutes les parties prenantes, y compris les acteurs externes.

### Introduction

Higher education is undergoing a paradigm shift as a result of historical changes in the society. The top segment of the educational ladder which traditionally exhibits a measure of conservatism and low competition appears to have suddenly become highly competitive. Collis (1999) identifies a number of the drivers of this change in higher education as new technologies, particularly the Internet, a change in the nature of the employment contract from lifetime employment, cost increases that outstrip productivity growth and thus lead to a continuing rise in the real price of education. the other factors he identified are exponential increases in the rate of accumulation of knowledge and the consequent fragmentation and specialization of the academia, globalization of academic and education markets, and new competitors entering the business both as stand-alone institutions and as companies training their own.

Deans juggle multiple roles and try to meet a myriad of expectations from diverse stakeholders. Squeezed from above and below as well as from inside and outside the university, deans are caught in the jaws of conflicting cultures, pressures and priorities. Constrained by traditions and tensions inherent in the role, they are increasingly accountable for outcomes over which they have little influence and less control (Gallos 2011). The Dean of the Faculty is a senior member of the University's academic administration, and is directly responsible for the recruitment, retention, and development of a university's faculty. The Dean oversees departments and programmes in the divisions within college or university. The Dean of the Faculty exercises significant control on the University's intellectual life and academic future through his hiring decisions, faculty support, and strategic initiative. The Dean supervises and approves all faculty searches and departmental hiring plans; works with

university governance committees in hiring, reappointment, promotion and tenure. He also convenes small discussion groups of departmental heads and other faculty members on academic issues and initiatives; and serves as the faculty's advocate on the University Council or other regulatory bodies. The criteria for appointing the dean vary from county to county and even from one university to another. Included among the strategies used are election, direct appointment and competitive interviews. Regarding qualification, it is required that a deanship candidate must be of the rank of senior lecturer and above with leadership qualities. More often, however, the deanship candidate must be a seasoned professor, distinguished in his field and noted for administrative competence. In essence, deans are classic middle managers: They have enormous responsibilities, little positional power, insufficient resources and limited authority.

Effective leadership in any organization is a crucial component of overall organizational success. While many aspects of management and leadership are common to most organizations, colleges and universities present special challenges both in their fundamental character and in practice. The combination of faculty and non-academic personnel in leadership roles in the same organization can create ambiguity and confusion. This is particularly an issue when units of the institution seek to achieve the highest possible level of performance, cooperation and mutual trust among and between them (Rowley and Sherman 2003).

Gmelch (2002) established that there are three activities deans must perform to lead effectively: building a community of scholars; setting direction; and empowering others. Overall, deans were found to be balanced in their approaches to leadership, with deans in comprehensive universities more likely to describe themselves as community builders than deans in research universities. It was further revealed that after about 10 years deans tend to disengage in direction setting behaviour, a finding that may have implications for institutional development.

The academic deanship is the least studied and most misunderstood position in the university system. The work of administration and the pursuit of scholarly endeavours result in a paradoxical situation which cause many academic leaders to burn out from the strain of trying to be effective administrators, on the one hand, and attempting to protect the academic autonomy and independence of the faculty on the other (Gmelch, Wolverton, and Marvin 1999). Much of the work of colleges and universities gets done at the academic departmental and faculty level. Yet, most institutions of higher learning pay little attention to either the preparation of departmental leaders or recognize the enormous work they do.

Deans usually come to their positions without some form of formal leadership training, without prior executive experience, and without a clear understanding of the ambiguity of their new roles. They assume their duties without due recognition of the metamorphic changes that occur and without an awareness of the toll their new position may take on their academic and personal lives. In spite of all these, the deans are expected to steer the academic leadership and offer direction to both students and lecturers to the best of their abilities. They are, therefore, expected to promote scholarship, protect higher education from stagnation and interference, and provide a sound basis for hiring and advancing the faculty to meet the demands of the university economy. Given the weighty responsibilities of a dean in piloting a faculty aright, therefore, there should be operating alternatives to the conventional way of thinking and running faculties (Gmelch 2000).

The alarming growth in population and the high rate of unemployment in Africa have made many people to question the quality of education students receive in higher institutions of learning. This is a challenge to the deans and the faculties. Up skilling should not just allow people to get a better job: it should enable them to shape the jobs of the future and actively contribute to an innovative economy (Waters 2012). To make the required impact on the African economic scene, quality graduates are needed in their large numbers to come into the job market with relevant qualifications as well as transversal and transferrable skills.

Deans need to be flexible, to specialize and to unlock the potentials of the people they lead in order to address the challenges of the twenty-first century. They are expected to offer transformational leadership that focuses on:

- Improving the quality and relevance of educational programmes to increase graduate employability and to meet the demand for people with high-end skills. This stresses the importance of adapting programmes such that each graduate, whatever their discipline, has a good mix of sector-specific and cross-cutting skills to enable them thrive in a labour market.
- Improving the quality of graduates and improving their skill sets for upward mobility and removing the obstacles that hinder their mobility.
- Encouraging higher education institutions to develop a stronger role in supporting sustainable growth in their regions and beyond.

#### Theoretical Framework

The present study uses the theoretical framework of transformational leadership to understand how deans mentor lecturers, influence students and develop pro-

grammes, monitor quality and initiate innovation with the aim of addressing future challenges. Sometimes lecturers may not be fully conscious of their transformational leadership qualities, but keen observation and mentorship will reveal this. An example of transformational leadership could involve using a deanship to stimulate and inspire lecturers and students to accomplish great things and develop capabilities required to manage prospective challenges. Transformational leaders seek to challenge the process and practice. They accept and embrace challenging opportunities that motivate others to greatness.

Transformational leadership is at work when leaders broaden and elevate the interests of their employees and followers; when they generate awareness and acceptance of the purposes and mission of the group; and when they stir their employees and followers to look beyond their own self-interest for the good of the group (Bass 1990). Deans should demonstrate transformational leadership behaviours by empowering teachers to rise above their personal expectations and help create and encourage a belief in their common goals. According to Bandura (1993), the stronger the faculty's shared beliefs in their instructional efficacy, the better the academic performance of students. High levels of perceived collective efficacy are associated with a robust sense of purpose that helps groups see setbacks as temporary obstacles to be overcome rather than evidence confirming their inefficacy (Goddard & Skrla 2006). Agreeing with these views, Ross and Gray (2006) argue that transformational leadership contributes to collective efficiency of lecturers by setting feasible goals, clarifying standards, developing a collaborative school culture, and linking actions of lecturers to student outcomes, and principal influences.

A leader can make a significant impact on the product of the organization. He or she can improve the educational and research infrastructure, and thereby improve the products of these efforts. Deans can foster the development of faculty, staff and students to improve the quality of work as well as the morale of everyone involved. Improving the quality of education and student mentoring can result in higher student retention and more successful graduates, which is a key factor in how deans are judged. Deans can also be a catalyst for organizational change. If you have something special to bring to your unit, including improving diversity, increasing the focus on teaching and learning, developing centres, or increasing interdisciplinary work, leadership provides an opportunity and resources for effecting such changes. Transformational leaders passionately believe that they can make a difference by envisioning the future and creating an ideal and unique image of what universities can become (Kouzes & Posner 2002).

# Academic Leadership

As academic leaders, deans exercise their authority within settings that have markedly different institutional purposes, cultures and expectations than a typical business organization. Leadership operates within the framework of purpose: vision, shared values, and common cause. The leader does not have to create the vision, but there must be one, and it must be shared by others who willingly commit themselves to the common cause (Diamond 2000). Scott Cowen, President of Tulane University, laments the decline of the academy as the largely unchallenged bastion of intellectual leadership. He says: 'As academic leaders, we must be the purveyors of ideas and knowledge that shape managerial thought and practice. Executives and organizations should be looking to universities and their faculties to provide the direction and knowledge necessary for organizations to adapt to the changes they are undergoing. All too often, however, we have been looking to industry to give us direction rather than vice versa. Deans therefore must reclaim the intellectual edge if they are to demonstrate continued leadership in the learning domain and provide value to the students and the organizations they seek to serve'.

Leadership is thus crucial if faculties have to make a change in this century. As Bennis (1985) puts it in Leaders: The Strategies for Taking Charge, 'business short of capital can borrow money, and one with a poor location can move. But, a business short on leadership has little chance for survival.' Brown (2011) suggests that advocacy and leadership bring about genuine change by using evidence-based practice and current research to convince people of the value of the changes you want to make and to make sure that the changes you are making align fully with the institution's overall ambitions. In showing transformational leadership in universities deans are expected to keep abreast of national and international developments in assessment, learning and teaching, prioritizing innovations and good ideas that form the context in which they are working and also to model good practice in their own teaching and assessment.

Programme leaders play a pivotal role in universities by ensuring that strategic imperatives are translated into action rather than leaving them as rhetorical ambitions. Deans, as senior managers, should work closely with programme leaders. This can be a very powerful partnership that can bring about real change in universities (Brown and Denton 2009). Effective academic leadership needs to use communication skills, organizational culture, and shared values in order to fulfil mutual trust. Consequently, the interests of faculties, staffs, and leaders converge toward common organizational aims. Leaders should not only direct reciprocal communication, but also provide

an effective communication network inside and outside universities. Mutual trust and respect provide an appropriate context and move the organization toward individual and collective goal attainments. Academic leadership should be transformational and collaborative, emphasizing participation, delegation, and teamwork. Driven by the dynamic nature of the academic environment, recommendations for acceptable management based on an increased utilization of teams and workgroups with multidisciplinary collaboration at local, regional, and international levels should offer a decreased reliance on traditional authority arrangements.

# **Faculty Development**

Leadership is about evoking high individual performance in others and, if effectively exercised, it will result in a team of people who enjoy clear purpose, shared values, who are empowered by knowing that their initiatives are aligned with and supported by team members, and who believe that there is mutual benefit deriving from their individual commitments in turning their common vision into reality (Diamond, 2000). In order to succeed at new teaching, research, and leadership tasks, faculty development is essential (Bikmoradi 2008). 'Management is about human beings. It's task is to make people capable of joint performance – to make their strengths effective and their weaknesses irrelevant' (Drucker 2011).

Successful organizations tap into individuals' intrinsic motivation, thereby enabling a self-sustainable commitment to quality and continuing improvement. The idea is to foster initiative, leadership and accountability throughout the organization – leadership that does not depend wholly on organizational position and formally designated authority. Deming strongly debunks reliance on extrinsic motivators – reward and punishment, fear and incentives – as short-term, non-sustainable practices that rob people of their self-esteem and dignity. Although we believe that extrinsic motivators do influence behaviour, we see them as only one component of many structural elements that must continuously be aligned with mission (Diamond 2000). This is what John W. Gardner Excellence means when he said, 'When an institution, organization or nation loses its capacity to invoke high individual performance, its great days are over'.

Academic work is facilitated through inspired and shared clear vision, goals, and strategies, consistently pursued and communicated with integrity, an understanding of individual needs, and energetic commitment. Academic leadership needs to develop a human resource network inside and outside the departments and university and at the local, national, and international levels. These networks could create an effective academic leadership on learning,

teaching, and research processes (Bikmoradi 2008) An effective and efficient reward system with appropriate and on time feedback should be able to improve output of academic work, according to possible results of an efficient evaluation system focusing on staffs, departments, and school performance. Academic leadership needs to have a clarified programme for faculty and staff promotion and development, and it should be placed on a priority list and agenda.

A campus culture that values collegiality and civility is among the most important contributions a university can make. Academic departments recognize the desirability of a collegial environment for faculty members, students, and professional employees and that such an environment should be maintained and strengthened throughout the university. In an environment enhanced by trust, respect, and transparency faculty members can be revivified so they can play an active and responsible role in academic matters (Cipriano 2012)

What deans should strive for in the academy is a healthy and respected sharing of ideas and concepts where people feel free to express their divergent and oftentimes conflicting views. In fact, many historians consider this concept to be one of the hallmarks of higher education. Facilitating a culture of collegiality can be the synergistic agent of good relationships among members of a department – which all too often is severely missing. In addition, deans should ensure that academic citizenship is demonstrated through the service that faculty members perform on various types of committees, in their professional organizations, through their uncompensated civic engagement, and through other professional efforts that benefit the community. Furthermore, it is manifested in excellent teaching when faculty members go above and beyond their contractual obligations to act as mentors to their students, and in superior research when they participate in collaborative efforts, scholarship networks, and multi-institutional academic partnerships (Buller 2010).

## Research and Instruction

Every year, millions of students enter universities and other higher institutions. They are ready to start a new chapter of their lives and hope to acquire the knowledge and skills that will equip them for future careers. Many arrive with fresh memories of the teachers who inspired them to go on to higher education – and the teachers they are about to meet will be just as important for their success. Yet relatively few countries invest systematically in efforts to improve the quality of university teaching. Instead, university excellence is mostly conceived of in terms of research performance, as confirmed by the growing influence of current university rankings, based mainly on research output (Vassiliou and McAleese 2012).

Drucker (2011) observes that 'the single most important thing to remember about any enterprise is that there are no results inside its walls. The result of a business is a satisfied customer, the result of a hospital is a healed patient, and the result of a school is a student who has learned something and puts it to work ten years later. Inside an enterprise there are only cost centres. Results exist only on the outside'. Educational institutions are so focused on getting students in the door that they lose sight of how to prepare young people to succeed in today's tough labour market. As a matter of fact, it looks like education providers are much more motivated to focus on getting youth onto campus and less focused on how to prepare youth to exit.' (Millar 2012). Reflecting on this, John Dewey, an educationist and a philosopher, says, 'One might as well say he has sold, when no one has bought, as to say he has taught when no one has learned'.

It is time to re-evaluate the idea of the university. A careful examination of the mission statement of almost any institution of higher learning, shows that teaching and research are listed as important but not necessarily related functions of the organization. In other words, relatively few mission statements present learning as a goal to be achieved through independent inquiry and research; even fewer describe discovery, integration, and application as results actively sought through teaching. Once again, the focus is on the activity rather than the result, and that perspective shapes everything that is familiar about the modern university (Buller 2012). In almost all universities you will notice that:

- Departments are organized around disciplinary methods (activities) rather than important questions being asked or issues being explored (results).
- Individual courses are defined by 'seat time' and contact hours (activities) rather than competencies gained and knowledge developed (results).
- Degrees are granted largely by the number of credits earned (activities) rather than the amount of growth achieved or improvement attained (results).

Chu (2006) says an academic department should be regarded as an 'open system' in which both the stakeholders and beneficiaries are numerous: faculty members, students, alumni, parents of current students, accrediting agencies, prospective employers of graduates, non-government organizations, funding agencies, and so on. Re-evaluating the idea of the university will mean approaching it not as a closed system in which professors teach and

conduct research, but as an open, organic network that includes a vast system of constituents and stakeholders. It is rapidly becoming accepted that there are alternative models for describing how students learn. It should be equally clear that alternative models also exist for describing how universities and university systems produce benefits for society. In what is perhaps the most comprehensive approach to promoting research while advancing instruction to date, Jenkins, Healey, and Zetter (2007) describe six effective strategies that deans can adopt to make timely progress in attaining this goal.

- Work through individual disciplines to develop a clearer understanding of how teaching and research intersect in their own practices and methods
- Review areas where current culture seems to inhibit the cross-fertilization between teaching and research, and revise policies where appropriate. Assessment data, student surveys, organizational audits, and comprehensive programme reviews can all provide helpful information in this regard.
- 3. Develop an institution-wide set of curricular goals for promoting research among all students, even at the undergraduate level.
- 4. Modify staffing policies so that future hires are likely to support the full integration of teaching and research.
- 5. Revise strategic planning goals and categories so that teaching objectives and research objectives better support one another.
- 6. Incorporate a fully integrated approach toward teaching and research into institutional culture. For instance, incorporate assessment of research knowledge into curricular assessment, encourage research clusters to become teaching teams, and give research-wide visibility to students at all levels of the institution.

Deans could properly consider 'high impact learning' which comes from Kuh, Kinzie, Schuh and Whitt (2005)'s work with the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE). They are particularly beneficial for students in terms of academic and personal growth, career development, and a wide range of desired learning outcomes (Kelly, 2011). There's something unique about high impact learning. It seems to have a greater impact than what we're used to. The type of learning tends to be very intense, not simply students walking into a lecture hall and hearing a lecture but students being required to learn on multiple levels. They're creating new knowledge, implementing it in real-life settings, and reflecting on the implications for themselves and the community.

The survey shows that 'transversal skills such as the ability to think critically, take initiative, problem-solve and work collaboratively will prepare individuals for today's varied and unpredictable career path'. It is teaching that primarily influences student outcomes, enhances graduate employability and raises the profile of African higher education institutions worldwide. This should be the core business of the deans. Learning at the postsecondary level includes not only the knowledge and skills that students gain from their formal course work but also the discoveries they make through their independent research. In other words, the revolution begun by Robert Barr and John Tagg (1995) has changed the way we look at what students do at a college or university, so why do we still insist on looking at teaching, research, and service as separate *activities*, rather than evaluating the learning that *results* from all three?

# **Programmes**

Many challenges confront universities and to thrive, or even to just survive amid these challenges, requires that the academic unit be continuously aligned with the changing needs of the constituencies it serves: its students, alumni, employers, its university, and the larger academy (Diamond 2000). No academic unit can be successful for long if it isolates itself from the knowledge of its constituencies' changing needs or fails to maintain value-adding relevance within its scholarship, education programmes and services. Escalating demands for change and accountability reflect growing dissatisfaction with the way university graduates are prepared for the challenges and continuing development that will characterize their lives and their professional careers. There are vigorous calls for innovation in curriculum, learning methods and education programme delivery and this should be the concern of deans if they have to excel as academic leaders.

Statistics in universities suggest that the share of the working population with a degree or above is going to increase, so universities do not only need to ensure it is producing more graduates but also that the graduates produced have the competences and skills that are in demand (Dennis Abbott as quoted by Osborn 2012). People will need to move around more and that's one reason to produce graduates who are much more versatile. African universities must align more closely with labour market needs to ensure that graduates have the skills and knowledge demanded by employers. As Sharma (2012) has observed, 'In the continent higher education is expanding rapidly and when you expand so rapidly misalignment between higher education and the labour market grows'.

Higher institutions are under pressure to reform, to provide adequate skills and knowledge for the evolving labour markets. This is increasingly important in countries which are moving towards middle-income status and aspiring to become knowledge economies, thereby increasing the demand for higher skills. The problem is that the workplace is no longer a stable, hierarchical structure. So the most important skill in the 21st century is adaptability. The current scenario is that universities are not accustomed to taking responsibility for employability. That stance is rapidly changing and universities must get ahead of the curve; deans must elevate employability as an issue for students to start considering in their first year of study. Empowering and preparing citizens for a greater role in development and innovation should remain the main purpose of faculties. Deans must enter into collaboration with industry, the private sector and the civil society to improve labour market links in enhancing training programmes.

To ensure that everyone who desires higher education can go in, and that universities equip their students with the right skills, requires a change of culture. The curriculum must be designed to meet the needs of a diverse student body and provide skills that are sought after by employers (Vassiliou and McAleese 2012). Universities need to use technology and communication channels effectively to improve access to knowledge. The educational programmes must be dynamic and streamlined to meet the demands of the job market as well as the aspirations of all the stakeholders, including the external stakeholders.

#### Innovation

Albert Einstein once said, 'The world that we have made, as a result of the level of thinking we have done thus far, creates problems that we cannot solve at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them'. African universities have not adequately prioritized innovation and creativity as an important learning outcome. Policymakers and educators need to do more to build faculties' capacity to compete and innovate by investing in critical skill sets and basic research. Institutions as well as government agencies have failed to sustain and nurture innovation in the colleges and universities. Results of scholarly research on teaching and learning are rarely translated into practice, especially for those working at the grassroots level in fields such as teacher preparation and math and science education

If universities were re-structured according to interdisciplinary emphases and topics, it would foster innovation more efficiently. At a time when innovation occurs increasingly at the intersection of multiple disciplines (including business and social sciences), curricula and research funding remain largely contained in individual departments. At present, the standard division of faculty labour into three categories of activity – teaching, research, and service – is so common that most academics

regard it as fundamental to the very way in which higher education works (Buller 2012). The fact is that broadening the definition of scholarship and recognizing that important learning takes place throughout the university, while being important first steps, simply don't go far enough in helping institutions address what faculty members actually do in their work today.

Innovation may be observed when faculty members discover and apply new knowledge, develop or perform creative works, and engage in entrepreneurial activities either in their discipline or in service to the institution. In addition, innovation may be regarded as including educational improvements that lead to enhanced student learning, original ways of serving their community or profession, and programmatic advances that make a college or university more distinctive.

Universities will not survive the next 10 to 15 years unless they radically overhaul their current business models. Maslen (2012) observes that a challenging report released by the international professional services company, Ernst & Young, claims that the current university model – a broad-based teaching and research institution with a large base of assets and back office – will prove unviable in all but a few cases. Academic deans will need significantly to streamline their operations by incorporating new teaching and learning delivery mechanisms, 'a diffusion of channels to market, and stakeholder expectations for increased impact'. The report identifies the main drivers of change it says will inevitably bring about a transformation of higher education. These are:

- The democratization of knowledge as a consequence of massive expansion of online resources.
- The contestability of markets and funding as a direct consequence of declining public investment and the adoption of market design policies to fund and regulate higher education.
- Digital technologies changing the way courses are delivered.
- Global mobility of students and staff.
- Integration with industry to differentiate programmes (through work-integrated learning) and to support and fund applied research.

Maslen observes that current university models are living on borrowed time and that government funding is tight and is going to be tighter still in the next couple of political cycles. While they are not exactly businesses, they will have to run like businesses. They need to be lean and mean. Deans should critically assess the viability of their institution's current business model, develop a vision of what a future model might look like, and develop a broad transition plan. Academic units must choose (1) which market segments their graduates

will target; (2) what the nature of their programmes will be (undergraduate, MBA, specialized Master's, PhD.); (3) what competencies they will focus on (leadership, technology, systems expertise, international); and (4) what learning methodologies (lecture, experiential, distance, cooperative, service) they will employ. Making choices like these will enable the academic unit to focus its always scarce resources in recruitment and deployment of faculty, in the development of learning processes, in the application of technology, and in building alliances both with external constituencies and within its university (Diamond 2000). In *The Transformation of Management*, Michael Davidson said, 'Strategy implementation is more about commitment than correctness. An excellent strategy with adequate implementation will always lose to an adequate strategy with excellent implementation'.

# Quality

Sawahel (2012) says that while quality assurance is developing rapidly in African higher education, it is still at a formative stage in many countries, and only 19 out of 55 states have a national quality agency, according to a report just published by the European University Association. Some long-standing academics would still remember that universities once enjoyed a highly honoured status in the society. That was a time when society intuitively embraced the academy's mission and supported it generously, largely without questioning what went on within the closed walls (Diamond 2000). As the challenges of this century begin to bite, many in higher education view themselves as being under siege: this is because higher education's relevance in preparing individuals for living a life and earning a living in society is being challenged.

The quality of teaching in higher institutions is key to unlocking the full potentials of students and creating a healthy economy and society. High calibre teachers and the institutions and systems that support them clearly impact on these challenges. Its starting point is that higher education is ever more crucial in creating and sharing the high-end knowledge and skills Africa needs. Excellent higher education is a source of competitive economic advantage and, at a time of crisis, a key to sustainable economic recovery (Vassiliou and McAleese 2012). Higher education is also a major driver of social progress as it trains graduates to respond creatively to challenges. At the same time, competition between universities increases as the quality of higher education improves around the globe. The knowledge economy means that the nature of jobs will change dramatically and that graduates will need constantly to update their knowledge and acquire new skills.

Universities are experiencing growing expectations and increased accountability for the outcomes they produce, i.e., credible evidence that their students

are learning, that their scholarship is relevant and value-adding, and that their service is more than just time spent, but actually produces results that are beneficial to the institution's stakeholders:

- The clamour for accountability is loudly heard in public policy arenas
  and in decisions, from trustees having stewardship responsibility for the
  institution's effectiveness, and from individual, business and foundation
  donors who underwrite a substantial portion of the university's costs.
- Paying customers, i.e., students, parents and employers, are increasingly looking for outcome measures, comparative statistics and assessments that will inform their decisions about where to buy.
- Accreditation processes, peer rankings and media rankings send universities scrambling to compile credible evidence of their comparative worthiness in the competition for recognition and respectability.

There are substantial risks for the university that finds its measures of success being dictated solely by the pressure to satisfy these external demands for outcomes measurement (Diamond 2000). First, there is the risk that the success measures may be driven by fickle, changing sets of priorities from ever changing sets of stakeholders, and thereby become unfocused, unconnected, and possibly even inconsistent, with the specific mission of the university. Second, there is the risk that the university's chosen measures will be focused on outcomes alone, and may largely ignore the drivers of those outcomes (the essential core processes of the university).

Academic leaders should take the initiative by adopting measures of success that are truly useful management tools for their institutions and that have credibility with the institution's external stakeholders – measures that genuinely inform decisions about enrolments, faculty hiring and development, programme and curriculum development, and resource allocations(Diamond 2000). Given the complexity of the academic enterprise, and the diversity of its customers and stakeholders, multiple sets of measures are required. These measurement sets may be quantitative, including monetary and statistical data; qualitative, including peer review and customer/stakeholder judgments; and/or comparative, including benchmarking against peers and tracking performance results over time.

#### Conclusion

The current challenges require deans to do things differently, shedding smaller and inefficient activities and concentrating on more strategic initiatives in their leadership through helping institutions to modernize their educational offerings and their ways of working, and bringing about specific Knowledge Alliances between higher education institutions and businesses, promoting innovations in designing new curricula and qualifications, and fostering creativity and entrepreneurship.

In addressing the challenges of the 21st century, deans will have to show willingness to challenge the system in order to turn ideas into actions and to get new products, processes, and services adopted. They should seek out challenging opportunities that test their skills and abilities and look for innovative ways to improve their organizations in readiness for the future. Transformational leaders are always willing to change the status quo (Abu-Tineh, Khasawneh and Omary 2009). Deans, as veritable transformational leaders, must reclaim the intellectual edge if they are to demonstrate continued leadership in the learning domain and provide value to the students and the organizations they seek to serve.

#### References

- Abu-Tineh, A. M., Khasawneh, S. A., & Omary, A., 2009, 'Kouzes and Posner's Transformational Leadership Model in Practice: The Case of Jordanian Schools', *Journal of Leadership Education*, Vol. 7, Issue 3.
- Bandura, A., 1993, 'Perceived Self-Efficacy in Cognitive Development and Functioning',
- Educational Psychologist, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 117-148.
- Bennis, W., 1985, 'The Strategies for Taking Charge'. Retrieved from www. Athens. rsc.uchicago.edu. 2012.
- Barr, R. B. & Tagg, J., 1995, 'From Teaching to Learning: A New Paradigm for Undergraduate Education', Change, Vol. 27, No. 6, pp. 12-55.
- Bass, B. M., 1990, 'From Transactional to Transformational Leadership: Learning to Sharethe Vision'. *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 19-31.
- Bikmoradi, et al., 2008, 'Requirements for Effective Academic Leadership in Iran: A Nominal Group Technique Exercise'. Retrieved from http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0),December 2012.
- Brown, S. with Denton, S., 2009, 'Leading the University beyond Bureaucracy', *in* S. Denton and S. Brown, eds, *Beyond Bureaucracy: Managing the University Year*, London: Routledge.
- Brown, S., 2011, 'Bringing about Positive Change in the Higher Education Student Experience: A Case Study', *Quality Assurance in Education*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp.195-207.
- Buller, J. L., 2010, *The Essential College Professor: A Practical Guide to an Academic Career.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Buller, J., (011, 'Promoting Research while Advancing Instruction', Part 1. *Academic Leader*; Vol. 27, No.1, pp. 3,7.
- Chu, D., 2006, The Department Chair Primer: Leading and Managing Academic Departments. Bolton, Mass.: Anker.
- Cipriano, R. E., 2012, 'Faculty Collegiality as a Synergistic Agent'. Retrieved from www.facultyfocus.com/topic/fr
- Collis, D., 1999, 'When Industries Change: Scenarios for Higher Education'. Retrieved from www.cdn.educause.edu 2012
- Diamond, M. A., 2000, *Academic Leadership: Turning Vision into Reality*, London: The Ernst and Young Foundation.
- Vassiliou, A. & McAleese, M., 2012, 'European Commission Bringing Teaching in from the Cold', *University World News*, No. 241.
- Drucker, P., 2011, People and Performance. New York: Routledge.
- Gallos, J. V., 2011, 'The Dean's Squeeze: The Myths and Realities of Academic Leadership in the Middle', *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, Vol. 18: August, pp. 293-307.
- Gmelch, W. H., Wolverton, M., & Marvin, I., 1999, 'The Academic Dean: An Imperiled Species Searching for Balance', *Research in Higher Education*, Vol. 40, No.6.
- Goddard, R. & Skrla, L., 2006, 'The Influence of School Composition on Teacher Perceptions.
- of Collective Efficacy', Educational Administration Quarterly, Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 216-235.
- Jenkins, A., Zetter, R., and Healey, M. J., 2007, Linking Teaching and Research in Disciplines and Departments. Heslington: Higher Educational Academy. Available at www.heacademy.ac.uk/assets/York/documents/LinkingTeachingAndResearch April07.pdf. Retrieved 2 October 2010.
- Kelly, R., 2012, 'Implementing High-Impact Learning Across the Institution. *Academic Leader*, Vol. 27, No. 11, pp. 7-8.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. J., 2002, *Leadership Challenges (3rd ed.)*, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kuh, G., Kinzie, J., Schuh, J. &, Whitt, E., 2005,. *Student Success in College Creating Conditions that Matter*, Washington: Jossey-Bass.
- Maslen, G., 2012, 'Universities Face Uncertain Future without Radical Overhaul', University World News, No 245.
- Millar, E., 2012, 'Universities Need Private Sector to Help Prepare Students for Work, *University World News*, No. 248.
- Osborn, A., 2012 'Rethinking Education' Calls for More Business Focus', *University World News*, No. 249.
- Ross, J. A. & Gray, P., 2006, 'Transformational Leadership and Teacher Commitment to Organizational Values: The Mediating Effects of Collective Teacher Efficacy', *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp.179-199.

- Rowley. J. & Sherman, H., 2003, 'The Special Challenges Of Academic Leadership', *Management Decision*, Vol. 41, No. 10, pp.1058 – 1063
- Sharma, 2012, 'Universities Must Better Prepare Young People For Labourmarket', *University World News*, No. 250.
- Sawahel, W., 2012, 'Quality Assurance on the rise, But More Action Needed Report', University World News, No. 245.
- Waters, M., 2012, 'Leadership Succession: How New Deans Take Charge and Learn the Job', *University World News*, No.243.
- Wolverton, M., & Gmelch, Walter H., 2002, 'Adapted from College Deans: Learning from Within', Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA, 1-5 April, Oryx/Greenwood Press.